




2023 Community Report Clayton



Produced for the New Mexico Department of Transportation, Traffic Safety Division, Traffic Records Bureau, Under Contract 6380 by the University of New Mexico, Geospatial and Population Studies, Traffic Research Unit

Distributed in compliance with New Mexico Statute 66-7-214 as a reference source regarding New Mexico traffic crashes

For the purposes of this report, data are compiled by the University of New Mexico, Geospatial and Population Studies, Traffic Research Unit (TRU), on behalf of the New Mexico Department of Transportation (NMDOT). Data in this report may differ from that in other data sources, such as the Federal Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS), due to the timing of publications and rules for how data are compiled and maintained in Federal vs. State databases. If you have questions regarding this report, please contact the Traffic Safety Division at 505-827-0427.

https://gps.unm.edu/tru/reports/community-reports/index.html





Definitions

Aggravated DWI – An arrest for 1) driving with a BAC of 0.16 or higher, 2) driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs and causing bodily injury to a human being as a result, or 3) driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs and refusing to submit to a BAC test at the time of arrest for DWI.

Alcohol-involved Crash – A crash for which the Uniform Crash Report indicated that 1) a DWI citation was issued, 2) alcohol was a contributing factor, or 3) a person in control of a motor vehicle, a pedalcyclist, or a pedestrian was suspected of being under the influence of alcohol.

Alcohol-involved Driver – A person in control of a motor vehicle, a pedalcyclist, or a pedestrian who was cited for DWI or indicated on the Uniform Crash Report as being either suspected or determined by testing to be under the influence of alcohol. There can be multiple alcohol-involved drivers in a single alcohol-involved crash.

Crash – A reported incident on a public roadway involving one or more motor vehicles that resulted in death, personal injury, or at least \$500 in property damage. Crashes on private property (such as a parking lot) are not included.

DWI Arrest (Citation) – An arrest for either DWI or aggravated DWI. New Mexico's legal limit for presumption of driving while intoxicated (DWI) is 0.08 BAC for non-commercial drivers older than 21 years of age, 0.04 for commercial vehicle drivers, and 0.02 for drivers younger than 21 years of age.

DWI Conviction – A conviction for driving under the intoxicating influence of alcohol, narcotics, or pathogenic drugs, including for aggravated DWI.

Fatal Crash – A crash in which at least one person was killed. More than one person can be killed in a single fatal crash.

Fatalities – The number of people killed in a crash. The terms "killed" and "deaths" are synonymous with "fatalities." A fatality is crash-related if it occurs at the time of the crash or if a person involved in the crash dies within 30 days.

First Harmful Event – The event of the crash that produced the first injury or damage. First harmful event (FHE) replaced Crash Classification starting in 2020. FHE and its' subanalysis data are derived from Crash Classification and Analysis for crashes that occurred prior to 2020 and for any agencies not using the E Juy 2018 Uniform Crash Report, which became available in 2020. Statistics for the categories of "Other Non-Motorist" and "Other" are not available prior to 2020.

Injury Crash – A reported crash in which at least one person was injured. Injury crashes each involve at least one suspected serious injury (Class A), suspected minor injury (Class B), or possible injury (Class C). Fatal crashes are not included.

Missing Data – An indication that the applicable field on the UCR form was left blank or contained an invalid code. Starting with crashes that occurred in 2012, improvements in the identification of missing data in the NMDOT crash database led to an increase in the reported amount of missing data.

Pedalcyclists, All – All people on any pedalcycle or in any pedalcycle trailer, and who are involved in a collision with a motor vehicle. Consists of pedalcycle operators and pedalcycle passengers. Historically, "pedalcyclists" included both pedalcycle operators and passengers. A pedalcycle is a mechanism of transport that is powered solely by pedals.

Pedestrians, All – All persons not occupying either a motor vehicle or a pedalcycle, and who are involved in a collision with a motor vehicle. Historically, "pedestrians" have also included people on personal conveyances (e.g., wheelchair or skateboard).

Sources

Crash Data – New Mexico Department of Transportation, Traffic Safety Division, Traffic Records Bureau, Traffic Crash Database, as of the report date below. Crash data are compiled using NMDOT Uniform Crash Reports (UCR), submitted by law enforcement agencies in the state, for any incident on a public roadway involving one or more motor vehicles that resulted in death, injury, or at least \$500 in property damage. These reports are processed by the NMDOT Traffic Records Bureau and analyzed by the University of New Mexico, Geospatial and Population Studies, Traffic Research Unit (TRU).

DWI Arrest Data – New Mexico Taxation and Revenue Department, Motor Vehicle Division, DWI File, as of the date listed in the footnote of Table 32. Repeat offenders are identified by the combination of account key, arrest date, and citation number. County data are based upon the county where the arrest took place. City data are based upon the city where the offender resides.

City, County, and Urban Area Designations – Refer to the crash-level data dictionary entries for "City", "County", and "System" at https://gps.unm.edu/tru/data-dictionaries.html.





Table 1: Total Crashes and Alcohol-involved Crashes by Crash Severity in Clayton, 2014-2023

		Total Crashes				Alcohol-involved Crashes				
Year	Fatal	Injury	Property Damage Only	Total	Fatal	Injury	Property Damage Only	Total		
2014	0	4	16	20	0	1	1	2		
2015	0	4	19	23	0	2	0	2		
2016	0	10	30	40	0	2	0	2		
2017	0	5	17	22	0	1	1	2		
2018	0	6	18	24	0	0	0	0		
2019	0	4	13	17	0	0	0	0		
2020	0	3	7	10	0	3	0	3		
2021	0	4	16	20	0	0	0	0		
2022	0	7	10	17	0	1	1	2		
2023	1	4	13	18	0	0	1	1		

Figure 1: Alcohol-involved Fatal and Injury Crashes Compared with Non-alcohol-involved Fatal and Injury Crashes in Clayton, 2014-2023








Table 2: Crashes by Month in Clayton, 2019-2023

Month			Crashes			5-Year
IVIOIILII	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Average
January	2	1	0	1	1	1
February	2	0	2	1	0	1
March	2	0	2	0	0	1
April	2	2	2	0	3	2
May	3	0	0	3	4	2
June	0	1	2	0	0	1
July	0	1	0	5	4	2
August	0	1	5	1	2	2
September	1	0	1	2	2	1
October	1	1	2	0	0	1
November	4	3	0	2	1	2
December	0	0	4	2	1	1
Total Crashes	17	10	20	17	18	16


Table 3: Alcohol-involved Crashes by Month in Clayton, 2019-2023

Month		Alcoho	ol-involved C	rashes		5-Year
Wionth	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Average
January	0	0	0	0	0	0
February	0	0	0	0	0	0
March	0	0	0	0	0	0
April	0	1	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	0	1	0	0
June	0	1	0	0	0	0
July	0	0	0	1	0	0
August	0	0	0	0	0	0
September	0	0	0	0	1	0
October	0	0	0	0	0	0
November	0	1	0	0	0	0
December	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Crashes	0	3	0	2	1	1






Figure 2: Crashes by Hour in Clayton, 2023



* In 2023, Clayton had 0 crashes for which hour data were missing.

Figure 3: Alcohol-involved Crashes by Hour in Clayton, 2023



* In 2023, Clayton had 0 alcohol-involved crashes for which hour data were missing.





Table 4: Total Crashes by Day of Week in Clayton, 2019-2023

Day of Week		1	Total Crashe	S		5-Year
Day of Week	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Average
Sunday	2	0	2	3	3	2
Monday	1	1	4	2	2	2
Tuesday	2	3	3	1	6	3
Wednesday	3	3	3	2	4	3
Thursday	1	1	1	4	0	1
Friday	5	2	5	3	1	3
Saturday	3	0	2	2	2	2
Total Crashes	17	10	20	17	18	16

Table 5: Heavy-truck Crashes by Day of Week in Clayton, 2019-2023

Day of Week		Hea	vy-truck Cra	shes		5-Year
Day of Week	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Average
Sunday	0	0	1	0	0	0
Monday	0	1	0	0	0	0
Tuesday	0	1	0	0	3	1
Wednesday	1	1	0	1	0	1
Thursday	0	0	0	1	0	0
Friday	1	0	1	0	0	0
Saturday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Crashes	2	3	2	2	3	2

Table 6: Motorcycle Crashes by Day of Week in Clayton, 2019-2023

Day of Week		Mot	orcycle Cras	hes ¹		5-Year
Day of Week	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Average
Sunday	0	0	1	0	0	0
Monday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tuesday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wednesday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Thursday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Friday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saturday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Crashes	0	0	1	0	0	0

¹ "Motorcycles" exclude ATVs.





Table 7: Alcohol-involved Crashes by Day of Week in Clayton, 2019-2023

Day of Week		Alcoho	ol-involved C	rashes		5-Year
Day of Week	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Average
Sunday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monday	0	1	0	0	0	0
Tuesday	0	1	0	0	0	0
Wednesday	0	1	0	0	0	0
Thursday	0	0	0	2	0	0
Friday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saturday	0	0	0	0	1	0
Total Crashes	0	3	0	2	1	1

Table 8: Fatal and Injury Crashes by Day of Week in Clayton, 2019-2023

Day of Week		Fatal a	and Injury C	rashes		5-Year
Day of Week	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Average
Sunday	1	0	1	1	1	1
Monday	0	1	0	1	0	0
Tuesday	0	1	2	1	2	1
Wednesday	2	1	1	0	2	1
Thursday	0	0	0	1	0	0
Friday	1	0	0	2	0	1
Saturday	0	0	0	1	0	0
Total Crashes	4	3	4	7	5	5


Table 9: All Pedestrian and Pedalcycle Crashes by Day of Week in Clayton, 2019-2023

Day of Mask	A	All Pedestria	n and Pedal	cycle Crashe	S	5-Year
Day of Week	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Average
Sunday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monday	0	0	1	0	0	0
Tuesday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wednesday	0	1	0	0	0	0
Thursday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Friday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saturday	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Crashes	0	1	1	0	0	0






Figure 4: Fatal and Injury Crashes by Hour in Clayton, 2023



^{*} In 2023, Clayton had 0 crashes for which hour data were missing.

Figure 5: All Pedestrian and Pedalcycle Crashes by Hour in Clayton, 2023



^{*} In 2023, Clayton had 0 crashes for which hour data were missing.





Table 10: Severity of Injuries to People in Crashes by Rural and Urban Locations and Alcohol Involvement in Clayton, 2023

		People in Cra	shes by Sever	ity of Injuries		
Rural and Urban Locations by Alcohol Involvement	Fatalities (Class K)	Suspected Serious Injuries (Class A)	Suspected Minor Injuries (Class B)	Possible Injuries (Class C)	No Apparent Injuries (Class O)	Total People
People in Alcohol-involved Crashes	0	0	0	0	1	1
Urban	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rural Non-Interstate	0	0	0	0	1	1
Rural Interstate	0	0	0	0	0	0
People in Crashes	1	0	3	5	25	34
Urban	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rural Non-Interstate	1	0	3	5	25	34
Rural Interstate	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent in Alcohol-involved Crashes	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%

Table 11: Total Crashes by Rural and Urban Locations and Crash Severity in Clayton, 2019-2023

Crash Severity		C	Crashes by Yea	nr		5-Year
by Rural and Urban Locations	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Average
Total Rural Interstate	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fatal Crash	0	0	0	0	0	0
Injury Crash	0	0	0	0	0	0
Property Damage Only Crash	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Rural Non-Interstate	17	10	12	16	18	14
Fatal Crash	0	0	0	0	1	0
Injury Crash	4	3	3	6	4	4
Property Damage Only Crash	13	7	9	10	13	10
Total Urban	0	0	8	1	0	1
Fatal Crash	0	0	0	0	0	0
Injury Crash	0	0	1	1	0	0
Property Damage Only Crash	0	0	7	0	0	1





Table 12: Total Crashes by First Harmful Event in Clayton, 2019-2023

1		Tota	l Crashes by	Year		5-Year
First Harmful Event ¹	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Average
Collision with Animal	0	1	1	1	1	1
Collision with Fixed Object	3	1	3	4	2	3
Collision with Motor Vehicle	11	6	13	12	11	11
Collision with Other Non-Fixed Object	2	0	0	0	2	1
Collision with Person	0	1	1	0	0	0
Pedalcycle	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pedestrian	0	1	1	0	0	0
Other Non-Motorist	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missing Subanalysis Data	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Collision	1	1	2	0	1	1
Overturn/Rollover	1	1	1	0	1	1
All Other Non-Collision	0	0	1	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	1	0
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Crashes	17	10	20	17	18	16

¹ The options of "Other Non-Motorist" and "Other" were not available before 2020. The addition of options in 2020 decreases the use of previously available options.

Table 13: Vehicles in Crashes by Vehicle Type in Clayton, 2019-2023

1		Vehicles in	Crashes by V	ehicle Type		5-Year
Vehicle Type ¹	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Average
Buses	0	0	1	0	0	0
Motorcycles/ATVs	0	0	1	0	0	0
Passenger Cars	13	4	16	11	13	11
Pedalcycles	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pedestrians, All	0	1	1	0	0	0
Pickups	7	5	6	10	8	7
Semis/Heavy Trucks	2	4	2	2	4	3
Vans/SUVs/4WDs	5	3	6	5	4	5
Other Vehicles	0	0	0	1	0	0
Missing Data	1	0	2	0	0	1
Total Vehicles	28	17	35	29	29	28

¹ Pedestrians and pedalcycles are counted as non-motorized vehicles, when involved in a crash with a motor vehicle. "All pedestrians" encompasses pedestrians with or without personal conveyance (e.g., wheelchair, skateboard). See Page 18 for more data on non-motorized vehicles in crashes.





Table 14: Motor Vehicle Drivers in Crashes by Vehicle Type and Age Group in Clayton, 2023

		Mot	or Vehicle ¹	Drivers by \	/ehicle Type	and Age G	roup		
Age Groups	Bus	Motor- cycle/ATV	Passenger	Pickup	Semi/ Heavy Truck	Van 4WD SUV	Other Vehicle	Missing Data	Total Drivers
15-19	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	6
20-24	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
25-29	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
30-34	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
35-39	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	3
40-44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
45-49	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
50-54	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	4
55-59	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60-64	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
65-69	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
70 +	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
Missing Data	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
Total Drivers	0	0	13	8	4	4	0	0	29

Table 15: Alcohol-involved Motor Vehicle Drivers in Crashes by Vehicle Type and Age Group in Clayton, 2023


	А	lcohol-invol	ved Motor	Vehicle ¹ Dri	vers by Veh	icle Type ar	nd Age Grou	ıp	Tatal
Age Groups	Bus	Motor- cycle/ATV	Passenger	Pickup	Semi/ Heavy Truck	Van 4WD SUV	Other Vehicle	Missing Data	Total Drivers
15-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20-24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25-29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30-34	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
35-39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40-44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
45-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50-54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55-59	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
65-69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
70 +	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Drivers	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

¹ See Page 18 for data on drivers of non-motorized vehicles in crashes (i.e. pedestrians and pedalcyclists).






Figure 6: Motor Vehicle Drivers in Crashes by Age Group and Sex in Clayton, 2023



^{*} In 2023, Clayton had 4 drivers in crashes for which age or sex data were missing.

Figure 7: Alcohol-involved Motor Vehicle Drivers in Crashes by Age Group and Sex in Clayton, 2023



^{*} In 2023, Clayton had 0 drivers in crashes for which age or sex data were missing.





Table 16: Alcohol-involved Motor Vehicle Drivers Under 21 (Ages 15-20) in Crashes in Clayton, 2019-2023

A1		Year								
Age ¹	2019	2020 2021		2022	2022 2023					
15	0	0	0	0	0	0				
16	0	0	0	0	0	0				
17	0	0	0	0	0	0				
18	0	0	0	0	0	0				
19	0	0	0	0	0	0				
20	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total Drivers	0	0	0	0	0	0				

Table 17: Motor Vehicle Drivers Under 21 (Ages 15-20) in Crashes by Age, Sex and Alcohol Involvement in Clayton, 2023

		Total (Orivers		Alcohol-involved Drivers			
Age ¹	Se	Х	Total	Percent of	Se	ex	Total	Percent of
J	Male	Female	Drivers	Total	Male	Female	Drivers	Total
15	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%
16	1	0	1	17%	0	0	0	0%
17	2	0	2	33%	0	0	0	0%
18	0	1	1	17%	0	0	0	0%
19	1	1	2	33%	0	0	0	0%
20	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%
Total Drivers	4	2	6	100%	0	0	0	0%

¹ For analysis of drivers under age 21, when the driver age or sex are not identified on the crash report (typically hitand-run drivers), the driver data are considered unreliable and are excluded from the analysis.





Table 18: Frequency of Contributing Factors in Crashes by Crash Severity in Clayton, 2023

	Frequ	ency of Contributi	ng Factor ¹ by Crash Se	everity
Contributing Factors	Frequency in Fatal Crashes	Frequency in Injury Crashes	Frequency in Property Damage Only Crashes	Frequency in All Crashes
Human	2	10	17	29
Driver Inattention	1	3	8	12
Excessive Speed	1	0	1	2
Failed to Yield For Emer. Vehicle	0	2	0	2
Improper Backing	0	0	2	2
Made Improper Turn	0	0	2	2
Driver Distracted by Other Activity	0	2	0	2
Other Improper Driving Avoid No Contact Other	0	1 0	1 1	2
Cell Phone	0	1	0	1
Failed to Yield Right of Way	0	1	0	1
Passed Stop Sign	0	0	1	1
Under the Influence Of Alcohol	0	0	1	1
Avoid No Contact Vehicle	0	0	0	0
Disregarded Traffic Signal	0	0	0	0
Driverless Moving Vehicle	0	0	0	0
Drove Left of Center	0	0	0	0
Failed to Yield For Police Vehicle	0	0	0	0
Following Too Closely	0	0	0	0
High-Speed Pursuit	0	0	0	0
Improper Lane Change	0	0	0	0
Improper Overtaking	0	0	0	0
Driver Distracted by Passenger	0	0	0	0
Pedestrian Error	0	0	0	0
Speed Too Fast For Conditions	0	0	0	0
Driver Distracted by Talking on Hands-Free Device Driver Distracted by Talking on Cell Phone	0	0	0	0
Driver Distracted by Talking on Cell Priorie Driver Distracted By Texting	0	0	0	0
Under the Influence Of Drugs	0	0	0	0
Vehicle Skidded Before Braking	0	0	0	0
Vehicle	0	0	1	1
Inadequate Brakes	0	0	1	1
Coupling Device (Hitch, Chains)	0	0	0	0
Defective Steering	0	0	0	0
Defective Tires	0	0	0	0
Exhaust System	0	0	0	0
Lights (Head, Signal, Tail)	0	0	0	0
Mirrors	0	0	0	0
Other Mechanical Defect	0	0	0	0
Suspension	0	0	0	0
Wheels	0	0	0	0
Windows/Windshield	0	0	0	0
Wipers				
Environment	0	0	4	4
Backup - Prior Crash	0	0	2	2
Animal(s) In Roadway	0	0	1	1
Road Surface Conditions Backup - Prior Incident	0	0	1	0
Traffic Congestion	0	0	0	0
Debris	0	0	0	0
Low Visibility Due to Glare	0	0	0	0
Low Visibility Due to Grane Low Visibility Due to Smoke	0	0	0	0
Road Defect	0	0	0	0
Obstruction in Road	0	0	0	0
Traffic Control Missing	0	0	0	0
Other Visual Obstruction(s)	0	0	0	0
Weather Conditions	0	0	0	0
Other	0	1	9	10
			7	8
Other - No Driver Error	0	1		
Missing Data	0	0	2	2

 $^{^{1}\,\}mathrm{Multiple}$ contributing factors may be reported for any vehicle in a crash.





Table 19: People in Crashes by First Harmful Event and Severity of Injuries in Clayton, 2023

First Harmful Event (FHE)		People in C	rashes by Sever	ity of Injuries		Total
and Subanalysis	Fatalities (Class K)	Suspected Serious Injuries (Class A)	Suspected Minor Injuries (Class B)	Possible Injuries (Class C)	No Apparent Injuries (Class O)	People
Collision with Animal	0	0	0	0	1	1
Deer	0	0	0	0	1	1
Antelope	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bear	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cattle/Cow	0	0	0	0	0	0
Elk	0	0	0	0	0	0
Horse	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other (Bird, Cougar, Sheep, Goat)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Large Domestic Animal	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Large Game Animal	0	0	0	0	0	0
Small Domestic Animal	0	0	0	0	0	0
Small Game Animal	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missing Subanalysis Data						
Collision with Fixed Object	0	0	0	2	1	3
Median	0	0	0	2	0	2
Other Post, Pole or Support	0	0	0	0	1	1
Bridge Pier, Support, Rail, or Overhead	0	0	0	0	0	0
Culvert Curb	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ditch	0	0	0	0	0	0
Embankment	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fence	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guardrail, End or Face	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Fixed Object	0	0	0	0	0	0
Traffic Barrier, Cable	0	0	o o	0	0	0
Traffic Barrier, Concrete	0	0	0	0	0	0
Traffic Sign Support	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tree (standing)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Utility Pole/Light Support	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wall or Building	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other (incl. hydrant, box, cattle guard, plant)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missing Subanalysis Data	0	0	0	0	0	0
Collision with Motor Vehicle	0	0	1	3	21	25
MV in Transport	0	0	1	3	18	22
Parked MV	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missing Subanalysis Data	0	0	0	0	3	3
Collision with Other Non-Fixed Object	0	0	1	0	1	2
Railway Vehicle	0	0	0	0	0	0
Struck by falling, shifting cargo	0	0	0	0	0	0
Work Zone/Maintenance Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Non-fixed Object	0	0	1	0	1	2
Missing Subanalysis Data	0	0	0	0	0	0
Collision with Person	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pedalcycle	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pedestrian	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Non-Motorist	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missing Subanalysis Data	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Collision	1	0	1	0	0	2
Overturn/Rollover	1	0	1	0	0	2
Cargo/Equipment Loss or Shift	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fell/Jumped from MV	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fire/Explosion	0	0	0	0	0	0
Immersion, Full or Partial	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jackknife	0	0	0	0	0	0
Thrown or Falling Object	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Non-Collision	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missing Subanalysis Data	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	1	1
	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missing FHE and Subanalysis Data		_	_		_	_
Total People	1	0	3	5	25	34





Table 20: Killed or Injured Unbelted People in Crashes by Sex and Age Group in Clayton, 2023

	Unbe	lted People I	Cilled or Inju	red ^{1,2}	Total
Age Groups	Male	Percent of Male	Female	Percent of Female	People
0-4	0	0%	0	0%	0
5-9	0	0%	0	0%	0
10-14	0	0%	0	0%	0
15-19	0	0%	0	0%	0
20-24	0	0%	0	0%	0
25-29	0	0%	0	0%	0
30-34	0	0%	0	0%	0
35-39	0	0%	0	0%	0
40-44	0	0%	0	0%	0
45-49	0	0%	0	0%	0
50-54	0	0%	0	0%	0
55-59	0	0%	0	0%	0
60-64	0	0%	0	0%	0
65-69	0	0%	1	100%	1
70 +	0	0%	0	0%	0
Missing Data	0	0%	0	0%	0
Total People	0	0%	1	100%	1

¹ People injured are in one of three categories: suspected serious injury, suspected minor injury, or possible injury.

² Excludes people in or on buses, heavy trucks, motorcycles, or ATVs.

Figure 8: Seatbelt Use by People in Crashes with Fatal or Suspected Serious Injuries in Clayton, 2019-2023










Figure 9: People in Crashes by Age Group and Sex in Clayton, 2023



^{*} In 2023, Clayton had 5 people in crashes for which age or sex data were missing.

Figure 10: People in Alcohol-involved Crashes by Age Group and Sex in Clayton, 2023



^{*} In 2023, Clayton had 0 people in alcohol-involved crashes for which age or sex data were missing.





Table 21: All Pedestrians and All Pedalcyclists in Crashes by Age Group in Clayton, 2019-2023

Age Groups	All F	Pedestrians a	nd All Pedalcy	yclists ¹ in Cra	shes	5-Year Total
Age Groups	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	People
0-4	0	0	0	0	0	0
5-9	0	0	0	0	0	0
10-14	0	0	0	0	0	0
15-19	0	0	0	0	0	0
20-24	0	0	0	0	0	0
25-29	0	0	0	0	0	0
30-34	0	0	0	0	0	0
35-39	0	0	0	0	0	0
40-44	0	0	0	0	0	0
45-49	0	0	0	0	0	0
50-54	0	0	0	0	0	0
55-59	0	0	0	0	0	0
60-64	0	1	0	0	0	1
65-69	0	0	0	0	0	0
70 +	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missing Data	0	0	1	0	0	1
Total People	0	1	1	0	0	2

Table 22: All Pedestrians and Pedalcycle Operators in Crashes by Alcohol Involvement and Severity of Injuries in Clayton, 2023

	All Ped	lestrians and	Pedalcycle O	perators ¹ in C	Crashes	Total People	
Alcohol Involvement	Fatalities (Class K)	Suspected Serious Injuries (Class A)	Suspected Minor Injuries (Class B)	Possible Injuries (Class C)	No Apparent Injury (Class O)		
Pedalcycle Operators	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Involved	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Not Involved	0	0	0	0	0	0	
All Pedestrians	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Involved	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Not Involved	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total People	0	0	0	0	0	0	

¹ "All pedestrians" encompasses pedestrians with and without personal conveyance (e.g., wheelchair, skateboard).

"All pedalcyclists" encompasses both pedalcycle operators and pedalcycle passengers. All pedestrians and pedalcycle operators are counted as non-motorized vehicles when involved in a crash with a motor vehicle.





Table 23: Occupants of Passenger Vehicles in Crashes by Severity of Injuries and Belt Usage in Clayton, 2023

	Indiama	Oc	ccupants of Passenger Vehicles ¹				
Severity of Injuries	Injury Class	Belted	Unbelted	Missing Data	Total		
Fatalities	K	0	1	0	1		
Suspected Serious Injuries	Α	0	0	0	0		
Suspected Minor Injuries	В	2	0	1	3		
Possible Injuries	С	1	0	0	1		
No Apparent Injuries	0	14	0	10	24		
Total Occupants of Passenger Vehicles		17	1	11	29		

¹ Occupants of passenger cars, SUVs, 4WDs, vans, and pickup trucks only.

Table 24: Motorcyclists in Crashes by Severity of Injuries and Helmet Usage in Clayton, 2023

	Injury	Motorcyclists in Crashes ¹					
Severity of Injuries	Class	Helmeted	Unhelmeted	Missing Data	Total		
Fatalities	K	0	0	0	0		
Suspected Serious Injuries	Α	0	0	0	0		
Suspected Minor Injuries	В	0	0	0	0		
Possible Injuries	С	0	0	0	0		
No Apparent Injuries	0	0	0	0	0		
Total Motorcyclists	0	0	0	0			

¹Excludes people on ATVs.





Table 25: Occupants of Passenger Vehicles in Crashes by Year, Belt Usage, and Percent Killed in Clayton, 2019-2023

	Occupant Fatalities of Passenger Vehicles ¹				Total O	Total Occupants of Passenger Vehicles ¹			Percent Killed	
Year	Belted	Unbelted	Missing Data	Total Fatalities	Belted	Unbelted	Missing Data	Total Occupants	Belted	Unbelted
2019	0	0	0	0	34	0	6	40	0.00%	0.0%
2020	0	0	0	0	14	1	3	18	0.00%	0.0%
2021	0	0	0	0	31	0	5	36	0.00%	0.0%
2022	0	0	0	0	22	3	24	49	0.00%	0.0%
2023	0	1	0	1	17	1	11	29	0.00%	100.0%
Average	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	23.6	1.0	9.8	34.4	0.00%	20.0%

¹ Occupants of passenger cars, SUVs, 4WDs, vans, and pickup trucks only.

Table 26: Motorcyclists in Crashes by Year, Helmet Usage, and Percent Killed in Clayton, 2019-2023


	Motorcyclist Fatalities ¹				Total Motorcyclists ¹			Percent Killed		
Year	Helmeted	Unhelmeted	Missing Data	Total Fatalities	Helmeted	Unhelmeted	Missing Data	Total Occupants	Helmeted	Unhelmeted
2019	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
2020	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
2021	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.0%	0.0%
2022	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
2023	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Average	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0%	0.0%

¹ Excludes people on ATVs.






Figure 11: DWI Arrests of Clayton Residents Throughout the State, Showing First and Repeat DWI Arrests, 2019-2023



*Values are based upon the year of the arrest.

Figure 12: DWI Convictions of Clayton Residents Throughout the State, Showing First and Repeat DWI Convictions, 2019-2023




*Values are based upon the year of the conviction.





Figure 13: DWI Arrests by Hour of Clayton Residents Throughout the State, 2023



^{*} In 2023, Clayton had 0 arrests for which hour data were missing.

Table 27: DWI Arrests by Day of Week of Clayton Residents Throughout the State, 2019-2023

5 (14)		5-Year				
Day of Week	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Average
Sunday	0	0	0	0	1	0
Monday	0	2	1	1	0	1
Tuesday	0	0	2	0	0	0
Wednesday	1	0	0	2	0	1
Thursday	0	0	0	1	0	0
Friday	1	1	0	0	0	0
Saturday	0	2	1	1	0	1
Total Arrests	2	5	4	5	1	3





Table 28: Driver First DWI Arrests by Age Group of Clayton Residents Throughout the State, 2019-2023

Aga Crauna	Driver First DWI Arrests ¹						
Age Groups	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023		
15-19	0	0	0	0	0		
20-24	0	1	0	2	0		
25-29	0	1	0	2	0		
30-34	0	0	1	0	0		
35-39	0	0	0	0	0		
40-44	0	0	1	0	0		
45-49	0	2	0	0	0		
50-54	0	0	0	0	0		
55-59	0	0	0	0	0		
60-64	0	1	0	1	0		
65-69	0	0	0	0	0		
70 +	0	0	0	0	0		
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	0		
Total Drivers	0	5	2	5	0		

¹Values are based upon the year of the arrest.

Table 29: Driver Repeat DWI Arrests by Age Group of Clayton Residents Throughout the State, 2019-2023

Age Groups	Driver Repeat DWI Arrests ¹						
7.80 0.00.00	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023		
15-19	0	0	0	0	0		
20-24	0	0	0	0	0		
25-29	0	0	1	0	0		
30-34	0	0	0	0	0		
35-39	0	0	0	0	0		
40-44	2	0	0	0	0		
45-49	0	0	0	0	0		
50-54	0	0	0	0	1		
55-59	0	0	1	0	0		
60-64	0	0	0	0	0		
65-69	0	0	0	0	0		
70 +	0	0	0	0	0		
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	0		
Total Drivers	2	0	2	0	1		

¹Values are based upon the year of the arrest.





Table 30: Driver First DWI Convictions by Age Group of Clayton Residents Throughout the State, 2019-2023

Ago Groups	Driver First DWI Convictions ¹						
Age Groups	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023		
15-19	0	0	0	0	0		
20-24	1	0	0	0	0		
25-29	0	1	0	0	0		
30-34	0	0	1	0	0		
35-39	0	0	0	0	0		
40-44	0	0	0	0	0		
45-49	0	1	0	0	0		
50-54	0	0	0	0	0		
55-59	0	0	0	0	0		
60-64	0	0	0	1	0		
65-69	0	0	0	0	0		
70 +	0	0	0	0	0		
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	0		
Total Drivers	1	2	1	1	0		

¹Values are based upon the year of the conviction.

Table 31: Driver Repeat DWI Convictions by Age Group of Clayton Residents Throughout the State, 2019-2023

Age Groups	Driver Repeat DWI Convictions ¹						
Age Groups	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023		
15-19	0	0	0	0	0		
20-24	0	0	0	0	0		
25-29	0	0	1	0	0		
30-34	0	0	0	0	0		
35-39	0	0	0	0	0		
40-44	1	0	0	0	0		
45-49	0	0	0	0	0		
50-54	1	0	0	0	0		
55-59	0	0	0	0	0		
60-64	0	0	0	0	0		
65-69	0	0	0	0	0		
70 +	0	0	0	0	0		
Missing Data	0	0	0	0	0		
Total Drivers	2	0	1	0	0		

¹Values are based upon the year of the conviction.





Table 32: Court Disposition of DWI Arrests for the State and of Clayton Residents Throughout the State, 2023

Court Disposition of DWI Arrest ¹	Clayton	Clayton Statewide	
Total DWI Arrests	1	7,751	0.0%
DWI Arrests Resulting in Convictions	0	2,115	0.0%
DWI Arrests Resulting in Dismissals ²	0	361	0.0%
DWI Arrests Awaiting Disposition	1	5,275	0.0%

¹ These are the number of DWI arrests in 2023 and whether the case resulted in a conviction or dismissal, or is still awaiting court disposition, as reported in the NM MVD DWI File, as of October 2024.

Table 33: Average Number of Days from Date of DWI Arrest to Date of Court Disposition for the State and of Clayton Residents Throughout the State, 2023

	Average Nur	Deviation from		
Court Disposition	Clayton	Statewide	Statewide Average	
DWI Conviction	0	128	-128	
DWI Dismissal	0	78	-78	

² For this table, a very small number of "not guilty" rulings may be included in the category Dismissals.






Table 34: Court Disposition of DWI Arrests of Clayton Residents Throughout the State, 2019-2023

Year of DWI Arrest ¹	First DWI Conviction	Court Disposition Repeat DWI Conviction Dismissed		Awaiting Disposition	Total DWI Arrests
2019	0	1	0	1	2
2020	2	0	1	2	5
2021	1	1	1	1	4
2022	1	0	1	3	5
2023	0	0	0	1	1

¹ Values are based upon the year of the arrest.

Figure 14: Court Dispositions by Percentage of DWI Arrests of Clayton Residents Throughout the State, 2019-2023



*Table 34 contains the values used to calculate percentages shown in Figure 14.